White matter abnormalities in Methcathinone abusers with an extrapyramidal syndrome
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Summary

We examined white matter abnormalities in patients with a distinctive extrapyramidal syndrome due to intravenous methcathinone (ephedrone) abuse. We performed diffusion tensor imaging in ten patients and fifteen age-matched controls to assess white matter structure across the whole brain. Diffuse significant decreases in white matter fractional anisotropy, a diffusion tensor imaging metric which reflects microstructural integrity, occurred in the patients compared with controls. In addition, we identified two foci of severe white matter abnormality underlying the right ventral premotor cortex and the medial frontal cortex, two cortical regions involved in higher-level executive control of motor function. Paths connecting different cortical regions with the globus pallidus, the nucleus previously shown to be abnormal on structural imaging in these patients, were generated using probabilistic tractography. The fractional anisotropy within all these tracts was lower in the patient group than controls. Finally, we tested for a relationship between white matter integrity and clinical outcome. We identified a region within the left corticospinal tract in which lower fractional anisotropy was associated with greater functional deficit but this region did not show reduced fractional anisotropy in the overall patient group compared to controls. These patients have widespread white matter damage with greatest severity of damage underlying executive motor areas.
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Introduction

A distinctive extrapyramidal syndrome occurs in intravenous methcathinone abusers (Colosimo and Guidi, 2009, de Bie et al., 2007, Levin, 2005, Sanotsky et al., 2007, Selikhova et al., 2008, Sikk et al., 2007, Stepens et al., 2008, Varlibas et al., 2009). Methcathinone is a euphoric stimulant, known as ephedrine in the former USSR, which is
manufactured by potassium permanganate oxidation, in the presence of acetic acid, of the ephedrine and pseudoephedrine contained in readily available pharmaceuticals (Emmerson and Cisek, 1993, Zingel et al., 1991). Methcathinone is not isolated in a purified form and intravenous injection exposes users to a high manganese load (Sikk et al., 2007).

Affected users develop a neurological disorder attributed to toxicity from the residual manganese in the injected methcathinone solution. Clinically this involves varying combinations of hypokinesia, dysarthria, dystonia and postural instability (Stepens et al., 2008). A typical patient shows facial impassivity, generally slowed movement, low volume speech, micrographia and a lurching gait on the balls of the feet with absent arm swing. Walking backwards is particularly difficult. Mild executive dysfunction has been detected in some (Selikhova et al., 2008). Unlike Parkinson’s Disease (PD) there is no rest tremor, lead pipe rigidity, shuffling gait or gait initiation failure. Most patients are unable to work and daily activities are severely restricted, mainly due to gait difficulties and postural instability.

The syndrome is remarkably stereotyped and in active users is associated with a distinctive T1-weighted MRI signal hyperintensity in the globus pallidus (GP) and substantia nigra attributable to manganese deposition; blood manganese levels are markedly elevated (Selikhova et al., 2008, Sikk et al., 2007, Stepens et al., 2008). Although this signal hyperintensity resolves with cessation of methcathinone usage, the clinical syndrome does not improve and is not significantly responsive to antiparkinsonian therapies (Selikhova et al., 2008, Stepens et al., 2008). Furthermore, dopamine transporter SPECT is normal (Selikhova et al., 2008, Sikk et al., 2009) but PET shows widespread, non-uniform, decreased fludeoxyglucose uptake (Sikk et al., 2009). Neuropathological studies of manganese neurotoxicity following occupational or experimental exposure demonstrate cell loss and gliosis in the GP, particularly the pars interna, with sparing of the substantia nigra pars compacta; Lewy bodies are absent (Olanow et al., 1996, Perl and Olanow, 2007). Manganese-induced neurodegeneration occurs in the frontal cortex of non-human primates associated with a functional deficit in fine motor control (Guilarte et al., 2006, Guilarte et al., 2008).

Given the distinctive nature of the motor abnormalities in these patients, we have investigated whether the syndrome is associated with particular damage to tracts involved in motor control. Although T1-weighted scans are normal in these patients, apart from the basal ganglia, conventional structural MRI is not always sensitive to diffuse neuronal loss. Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) is a non-invasive MR imaging technique sensitive to the self-diffusion of water molecules and provides measures of white matter microstructure. Two DTI metrics, fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity are sensitive to subtle white abnormalities in various neurological conditions. Decreases in fractional anisotropy reflect a decrease in structure within a tract; factors influencing fractional anisotropy include membrane and myelin integrity and fibre density, but the biological basis of the measure is complex (Beaulieu, 2009). Increases in mean diffusivity is elevated with tissue degeneration and oedema (Assaf, 2008). In Parkinsons Disease decreased fractional anisotropy has been observed in white matter tracts within the frontal lobes (Yoshikawa et al., 2004, Karagulle Kendi et al., 2008, Gattellaro et al., 2009). Changes in mean diffusivity in Parkinsons Disease are less consistent across studies, with some studies have reported a decrease in mean diffusivity in these areas (Gattellaro et al., 2009), whereas other studies have found mean diffusivity to be normal (Nicoletti et al., 2006; Schocke et al., 2004; Schocke et al., 2002, Karagulle Kendi et al., 2008).

We have used DTI to investigate forebrain white matter integrity in methcathinone abusers and particularly examined connections between GP and cortex.
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Methods

Patients

Ten intravenous drug addicts [mean age 40 years (range 30 – 55), 2 female] who had used methcathinone for an average period of 8 years (range 1 - 23) and showed the typical extrapyramidal disorder and 15 controls [mean age 38 years (range 25 – 56), 2 female] were studied at the University Hospital “Gailezers”, Riga, Latvia in 2008 - 2009. None had a family history of neurological disease, a history of PD or clinical evidence of Lewy Body Disease. Informed consent was obtained in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and ethical approval was granted by the University Hospital, Riga.

Posture, speech and writing were graded as normal, mild, moderate or severe. All patients were rated on the Unified Parkinson’s Disease rating scale, UPDRS (Fahn et al., 1987), a broad measure of parkinsonian symptoms (range 0-176, higher scores indicate more severe disability). As these patients had a pure motor disorder, we utilized the scores from the motor subsection of the UPDRS (Section III) for our correlation measures (motor subscore range 0-108; higher scores indicate more severe disability) (Fahn et al., 1987), although it is important to note that this subscore was highly correlated with the total score for these patients, as would be expected in patients with a pure motor disorder.

MRI

Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance images were acquired on a 1.5T GE Systems MR scanner at the University Hospital “Gailezers”, Riga, Latvia with a maximum gradient strength of 40mTm\(^{-1}\). Echo-planar images of the whole head were acquired (TR = 8500 ms, TE = 93.9 ms, 27 × 5mm thick axial slices, in-plane resolution 1.0 × 1.0 mm). The diffusion weighting was isotropically distributed in 25 directions using a \(b\) value of 1000 s mm\(^{-2}\). One volume with no diffusion weighting was also acquired.

In order for high-resolution tractography to be performed to define pathways of interest between the GP and cortex, nine healthy controls [mean 27 years (range 22-33), 1 male] were recruited with approval from the Central Oxford Research Ethics Committee and gave their informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Diffusion-weighted MR images were acquired on a Siemens Sonata 1.5T scanner at the Oxford Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Oxford, UK with a maximum gradient strength of 40mTm\(^{-1}\). Three sets of echo-planar images of the whole head were acquired each with nine volumes with no diffusion weighting (72 2mm thick axial slices, giving an isotropic resolution of 2×2×2 mm). Diffusion weighting was isotropically distributed along 60 directions using a \(b\) value of 1000 s mm\(^{-2}\). This high-resolution data set was used to define tracts of interest which were then used to derive quantitative values from age-matched controls and patients; no statistical analysis was performed comparing the controls from the high-resolution data set with the patient group.

Data analysis

All diffusion data were transformed using affine registration to correct for eddy currents and head motion (Jenkinson et al., 2002) and non-brain tissue was removed (Smith, 2002). FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox (FDT) part of the FMRIB Software Library (FSL, www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) (Smith et al., 2004), was used to fit a diffusion tensor model to the data at each voxel. Voxelwise values of fractional anisotropy and Mean Diffusivity were then calculated.

Whole Brain Analysis—Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS, also part of FSL), a method for voxelwise statistical comparison of diffusion indices between individuals was...
used to test for differences in fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity between patients and controls across the whole brain white matter (Smith et al., 2006) (see supplementary methods).

To test for group differences in fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity between patients and controls, we used the randomise program within FSL to carry out permutation-based testing. Statistical thresholding was carried out in two complementary ways: First by cluster-based analysis (with corrected cluster $P < 0.05$) using TFCE (Threshold-Free Cluster Enhancement as implemented within randomize), which is sensitive to spatially extensive areas of significant difference and second by a voxel-based thresholding approach, which is most sensitive to highly significant differences with small spatial extent. For this voxel-based approach significant regions were defined by first thresholding the raw $t$-statistic map on the skeleton at $t(23) > 3.76$, giving an (uncorrected) $P < 0.001$. Significant regions were then defined by a cluster extent of $> 25 \text{ mm}^3$ (equivalent to 5 voxels in native space). This threshold is equivalent to a map-wise false-positive rate of $\alpha < 0.0005$ (estimated using a Monte Carlo procedure as implemented in the AlphaSim program in the ANFI software package).

For ease of visualization, the results of both the cluster-based and voxel-based analyses were thickened using tbs_fill (within FSL). This thickens the tracts by smoothing with a 3mm Gaussian kernel, whilst maintaining the maximum statistic values and limiting the extent of the spread to voxels in the white matter (defined as fractional anisotropy $> 0.2$).

We performed a separate within-group analysis of the patient group to test for areas within the white matter skeleton where disability scores correlated with fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity. Clusters were defined using equivalent thresholding approaches [TFCE (with cluster $P < 0.05$, corrected) and voxel-based thresholding (with $t(9) > 4.29$, giving an (uncorrected) $P < 0.001$, cluster extent of $> 25 \text{ mm}^3$)].

Clusters showing significant effects on between-group and within-group analyses with TBSS were used as seed masks for multi-fibre probabilistic tractography (Behrens et al., 2007, Behrens et al., 2003) (see supplementary information) to determine the pathways passing through white matter regions of significant fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity change. Due to the relatively low resolution of the data collected from the patients and age matched controls, tractography was performed on higher resolution, isotropic diffusion weighted images acquired from a separate cohort of healthy controls. It is important to note, however, that these data were not used for any statistical analysis, but only to more accurately define white matter tracts implicated.

**Pathways of interest analysis**—We had *a priori* hypotheses about involvement of pathways from the GP in this patient group, given the abnormalities observed in this structure on T1-weighted MRI. Thus we performed tractography in each high-resolution diffusion dataset to delineate the connections between the GP and cortical masks, via the thalamus (see supplementary information) to test specifically for fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity changes within pathways from the GP to the cortex. The cortical areas selected were those known to have strong connections with GP: Primary Motor Cortex (M1); Supplementary Motor Area (SMA); Frontal Eye Fields (FEF); Anterior Cingulate Gyrus (ACG); Lateral Orbital Cortex (LOC); Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex (DLPFC); Pre-Supplementary Motor Area (pre-SMA). Repeated measures ANOVAs were performed to assess the significance of any differences between the groups.

**Region of Interest analysis of GP**—We wished to investigate differences in mean diffusivity within the GP itself between patients and controls. To do this we investigated the
average mean diffusivity within the GP using an anatomical mask (see supplementary information) for each subject. A two-sample t-test was performed to assess the significance of any difference between the groups.

Results

Clinical features

The clinical features of all ten patients are summarized in Table 1.

MRI

**Group differences**—A significant increase of 7% in average mean diffusivity within the GP bilaterally was observed within the patient population compared with the mean value in controls [patients mean diffusivity 8.04 ± 0.09 (x10^{-4}) (mean ± SE); controls mean diffusivity 7.49 ± 0.05 (x10^{-4}); P < 0.001].

To investigate global differences between patients and controls in white matter integrity we tested for differences across the whole white matter skeleton. Overall, patients had a 5% reduction in mean fractional anisotropy compared to controls [patients fractional anisotropy 0.39 ± 0.003 (mean ± SE); controls fractional anisotropy 0.37 ± 0.007; t(23) = 2.74; P = 0.01]. Next, we performed a cluster-based (TFCE) analysis within TBSS with a cluster P = 0.05 (corrected). TFCE results are fully corrected for family-wise error across the white matter skeleton. Extensive and diffuse areas of decreased fractional anisotropy in patients were observed within the white matter skeleton, particularly in more anterior tracts (figure 1A). Within this region patients had a 15% reduction in mean fractional anisotropy compared to controls (controls 0.48 ± 0.01; patients 0.41 ± 0.01).

We additionally tested for foci of extreme differences in white matter fractional anisotropy between patients and controls using voxel-based thresholding in TBSS. Using this approach, we found two regions of white matter in which the fractional anisotropy was significantly lower in patients than in controls (Table 2, figure 1B & C). Both these regions were within the areas of decreased fractional anisotropy in patients demonstrated using TFCE. These clusters were used as seeds for probabilistic tractography, which allowed us to identify these regions as belonging to the anterior corpus callosum connecting the pre-Supplementary Motor Areas (preSMA) and to white matter underlying the right ventral premotor cortex (PMv) (Table 2, figure 1B & C). Fractional anisotropy was reduced in these areas by 21% within the region in the anterior corpus callosum and 22% within the region underlying the right PMv. Both these regions were within the areas of decreased fractional anisotropy in patients demonstrated using TFCE.

In the whole brain analysis areas of increased mean diffusivity in the patient group co-distributed with areas of decreased fractional anisotropy (data not shown), but these mean diffusivity increases did not reach statistical significance with either TFCE or voxel-based thresholding.

In addition to whole-brain analysis, we investigated fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity within known connections between GP and distinct cortical areas. There was no main effect of hemisphere [F(1,24) = 2.59; P = 0.12], therefore mean fractional anisotropy values for the tracts from the two hemispheres were averaged for analyses and a repeated measures ANOVA was performed to test for differences in mean fractional anisotropy within the GP-cortical tracts between patients and controls. The repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of cortical area (M1, SMA, FEF, ACG, LOC, DLPFC, Pre-SMA) [Main Effect of Area: F(6,138) = 1051, P < 0.001] and a significant overall difference in mean fractional anisotropy between the patient and the control group [Main
Effect of Group: $F(1,23) = 6.61, P = 0.01$, as well as a significant interaction between area and group [Interaction of Area x Group: $F(6,138) = 6.00, P < 0.001$]. Post-hoc t-tests demonstrated a reduction in fractional anisotropy in patients compared to controls within each of these tracts (Table 3).

A repeated measures ANOVA was performed to test for differences in average mean diffusivity within the GP-cortical tracts between controls. There was a significant main effect of cortical area (M1, SMA, FEF, ACG, LOC, DLPFC, Pre-SMA) [$F(6,138) = 529, P < 0.002$] and a significant overall difference in average mean diffusivity between the patient and control groups [$F(1,23) = 5742, P < 0.001$] and a significant interaction between area and group [$F(6,138) = 3.39, P = 0.004$]. Post-hoc t-tests demonstrated a trend towards an increase in mean diffusivity in the patient group in each of these tracts, though this did not reach significance.

**Correlations with disability**—Clinical scores were correlated with white matter fractional anisotropy within the patient population. No significant clusters were identified using TFCE but using voxel-based correction there was a significant correlation between the UPDRS motor subscore and fractional anisotropy in one region (Table 2, figure 2A) such that patients with a higher motor subscore (i.e. those with greater disability) had lower fractional anisotropy in this region (figure 2C). Probabilistic tractography allowed us to identify this region as belonging to the left corticospinal tract (CST) (figure 2B). Motor subscore and total UPDRS score were highly correlated within this patient group ($r = 0.97, P < 0.001$) and the same region showed a significant correlation between the total UPDRS score and fractional anisotropy. This region did not appear to have reduced fractional anisotropy in the patient group as a whole in our analysis of between group differences (Figure 1A). Consistent with this, a region of interest analysis on this cluster showed that the fractional anisotropy within this region is not significantly lower in patients than in controls (figure 2D).

A similar region was identified in the right CST but the cluster extent (15 mm$^3$) did not reach our significance threshold (> 25 mm$^3$). Analysis of mean diffusivity demonstrated no areas of significant correlation with the UPDRS scores.

We found no correlations between clinical score and either fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity within the GP-cortical tracts.

**Discussion**

We identified white matter abnormalities in methcathinone abusers with an extrapyramidal syndrome. Evidence for diffuse white matter change was reflected by reductions in fractional anisotropy within the central white matter of the patient group. In addition we found focally severe reductions in fractional anisotropy in the patient group – specifically in areas underlying the right ventral premotor cortex and the medial prefrontal cortex. A correlation between clinical severity and white matter integrity was demonstrated within the left corticospinal tract, in a region which appears to be relatively spared in the group as a whole.

Given the nature of the underlying toxicity in these patients, widespread damage to the white matter tracts, as evidenced by reduction in fractional anisotropy, might have been expected. More noteworthy is the demonstration of focal regions of substantial reduction in fractional anisotropy. The clinical features of these patients point to a disorder of higher level motor programming. They have notable difficulty with backwards motion, tend to fall if walking backwards, sit by falling backwards and recover poorly on backwards displacement. An
early symptom is difficulty in getting out of cars and one patient had extreme difficulty propelling his wheelchair backwards using his hands, despite easily moving it forwards (Stepens et al., 2008). This pattern of motor deficits resembles executive dysfunction displayed by patients with prefrontal lesions who typically have difficulties in inhibiting a prepotent response (Mostofsky and Simmonds, 2008). The regions of the medial and inferior lateral frontal cortex implicated here are involved in circumstances where an initial response must be suppressed to perform a different required action (Buch et al., 2010, Mars et al., 2009, Nachev et al., 2007, Mostofsky and Simmonds, 2008, Aron et al., 2004) and individual differences in the microstructure of white matter pathways that interconnect with pre-SMA and PMv correlate with physiological measures of response inhibition, even in healthy subjects (Mars et al., 2009, Buch et al., 2010). The difficulty these patients have in moving backwards may result therefore from an inability to suppress the primary action of walking forwards.

T1-weighted structural MRI reveals GP as the primary site of manganese deposition in these patients (Stepens et al., 2008). We have demonstrated increased mean diffusivity in this area unlike PD (Chan et al., 2007), suggesting loss of microstructural integrity specifically in our patient group. The anatomical connectivity of GP involves basal ganglia cortical loops with areas of prefrontal, premotor and motor cortex (Middleton and Strick, 2000) and DTI confirms similar connections in humans (Draganski et al., 2008). We used tractography to test specifically for white matter abnormalities within GP-cortical connections and demonstrated widespread damage across all tracts. Although the ANOVA revealed an interaction suggesting the GP-cortical connections are differentially affected by the disease process, our post-hoc t-tests detected a decreased fractional anisotropy in patients in all tracts. There are a number of reasons that an increase in mean diffusivity and a decrease in fractional anisotropy might be observed in the GP-cortical pathways. It might be that manganese toxicity leads to a more widespread pattern of neuronal damage than that inferred from conventional MRI. Alternatively it might be that there is a decrease in fractional anisotropy in these tracts as a secondary trans-synaptic consequence of damage to the GP, and therefore a decrease in tract integrity is observed secondary to a decrease in the pre-synaptic GP-thalamic connections.

By contrast to the GP-cortical connections, the corticospinal tracts (CSTs) appear to be relatively spared, in keeping with the lack of pyramidal signs in these patients. In combination with the result of the whole brain analysis, this anatomical pattern reinforces the clinical notion of a higher-level motor programming disorder. However, despite the relative sparing of the CST, we also demonstrated a significant correlation between fractional anisotropy within the left CST and clinical score in the patients. This may suggest that pre-existing variation in this pathway influences motor function in patients, reminiscent of correlations between fractional anisotropy and performance described in healthy volunteers (Johansen-Berg et al., 2007).

Tractography has not been used previously to investigate manganese toxicity. DTI has been used to investigate PD and parkinsonian syndromes showing decreased fractional anisotropy outside the basal ganglia within the genu of the corpus callosum and the superior longitudinal fasciculus, and within frontal lobe areas, premotor areas and the cingulum (Gattellaro et al., 2009, Karagulle Kendi et al., 2008, Yoshikawa et al., 2004). Although two of these previous studies in non-demented patients report decreased fractional anisotropy in widespread regions of frontal white matter which include locations demonstrated here (Gattellaro et al., 2009, Karagulle Kendi et al., 2008), it is important to reiterate that the extrapyramidal syndrome in methcathinone abusers differs markedly from that of PD.
The focal white matter abnormality underlying PMv was only detected in the right hemisphere even after relaxing the statistical thresholding ($p<0.05$ uncorrected, voxel extent $>25\text{mm}^3$; data not shown). There is a known functional laterality within the motor system in that the left premotor cortex is dominant for selection of motor actions (Cavina-Pratesi et al., 2006). It is not clear why the right PMv is more sensitive in this context, given that the pathology, conventional MR changes and clinical disorder are symmetric. However, it is interesting to note that a previous PD study reported right lateralized changes in white matter fractional anisotropy in a location encompassing this region (Karagulle Kendi et al., 2008).

There is a complex neurobiological basis for the Fractional Anisotropy measure used here. Fractional Anisotropy is modulated by factors such as myelination, membrane integrity, or axonal packing density (Beaulieu, 2009). In the context of a toxin-induced neuronal loss, the decreases are likely to reflect decreased axonal packing density; however this cannot be proven definitively using this technique. Additionally, possible compensatory adaptive changes in myelination, for example, cannot be excluded (Tanaka et al., 2003). More complex acquisition and analysis of diffusion data may allow modelling of specific microstructural features such as axon diameter (Assaf et al., 2008).

Of our six HIV-positive patients, four had AIDS. We confirmed that HIV status has no effect on differences between patients and controls (data not shown). Although these conditions can lead to white matter abnormalities, a recent study demonstrated no difference in fractional anisotropy between HIV-positive patients and healthy controls (Gongvatana et al., 2009). Although decreases in fractional anisotropy have been observed in AIDS patients they mainly relate to dementia (Mirsattari et al., 1998).

**Methodological Limitations**

Our studied patient population is relatively small, a common limitation of imaging studies in rare disorders. Despite the small group sizes we have detected consistent changes to guide future studies on larger groups. In the current study we were unable to test quantitatively for grey matter changes due to the limited resolution of T1-weighted images. Future studies should investigate disease-related changes in the grey matter areas that interconnect with the white matter regions identified here. The resolution of the DTI data for patients and age-matched controls was also relatively low and this may have reduced our sensitivity to focal effects and limited the accuracy of cross subject alignment. Registration accuracy was checked for all subjects and we de-projected significant clusters back into native DTI space for each individual to confirm that skeleton voxels were indeed projected from the white matter in all cases.

**Conclusion**

We have demonstrated widespread white matter abnormalities in patients with a manganese-induced extrapyramidal syndrome. Particular abnormalities were noted within white matter underlying two regions known to be involved in higher-level motor processes, which may explain the stereotypic motor impairment of these patients. The detection of these abnormalities outside areas known to be connected directly to GP suggests a more widespread neuronal pathology than previously demonstrated by conventional imaging techniques thereby extending our understanding of the anatomical basis of this disorder.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Group differences in fractional anisotropy between patients and controls

A. Blue regions indicate areas showing a significant reduction in fractional anisotropy in patients compared to controls in a cluster-based analysis (significant clusters have been thickened for ease of visualization) (TFCE; corrected p < 0.05). Widespread abnormalities are observed in white matter tracts within the central regions of the brain, more peripheral tracts are relatively unaffected. B & C. Areas showing decreased fractional anisotropy in patients compared to controls using voxel-based thresholding. First column shows regions of significant reduction in fractional anisotropy in yellow (significant clusters have been thickened for ease of visualization) (t > 3.76; p < 0.001 (uncorrected); cluster extent > 25 mm$^3$). The mean fractional anisotropy skeleton is shown in green and the areas of significant difference illustrated in figure 1A are shown in blue. The middle column shows the paths (derived using high-resolution diffusion data from healthy volunteers) originating from the clusters of significant difference shown in the first column where the colour coding reflects the proportion of the population in which a tract is present. Tracts have been thresholded to show only those present in 3 or more subjects. Third column shows the mean fractional anisotropy in patients and in controls within the significant clusters.
Figure 2. Correlations with clinical score
A. The blue region indicates an area showing a significant correlation between UPDRS motor subscore and fractional anisotropy using voxel-based thresholding ($t > 4.78$, $p < 0.001$ (uncorrected), cluster extent $> 25 \text{ mm}^3$). B. The results of probabilistic tractography using this region as a seed mask in high-resolution data, demonstrating it lies within the left corticospinal tract, where the colour coding reflects the proportion of the population in which a tract is present. Tracts have been thresholded to show only those present in at least 3/9 subjects. C. Correlation between the UPDRS motor subscore and the fractional anisotropy within this region. D. Range of fractional anisotropy within this region in patients and controls. (Points represent individual subjects).
Table 1

Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>HIV Status</th>
<th>Gait</th>
<th>Speech</th>
<th>Writing</th>
<th>Rigidity</th>
<th>Tremor</th>
<th>Akinesia</th>
<th>MMSE</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Motor</th>
<th>Methcathinone Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Former</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Former</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Former</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Former</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>−ve</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Former</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

White matter regions in which fractional anisotropy values (A) were significantly lower in patients than controls and (B) correlated with clinical score. PMv Ventral Premotor Cortex. CST Corticospinal Tract. UPDRS Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Patients vs controls</th>
<th>Cluster Location</th>
<th>Max t-stat</th>
<th>Size in mm$^3$</th>
<th>Centre of Gravity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under R PMv</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43 −2 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R Corpus Callosum</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11 13 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Correlation with UPDRS (motor)</th>
<th>L CST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Summary of mean fractional anisotropy within GP cortical tracts used for the pathways of interest analysis. The mean fractional anisotropy within these pathways was reduced in the patient group compared to the controls (mean ± SE). Post-hoc t-tests were performed to assess the significance of this difference. The resulting p-value is shown in column 4. M1: Primary Motor Cortex; SMA: Supplementary Motor Area; FEF: Frontal Eye Fields; ACG: Anterior Cingulate Gyrus; LOC: Lateral Orbital Cortex; DLPFC: Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex; Pre-SMA: Pre-Supplementary Motor Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Mean FA</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACG</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLPFC</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreSMA</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>