Temporal coordination of neuronal assemblies among cortical areas is essential for behavioral performance. GABAergic projections from the medial septum and diagonal band complex exclusively innervate GABAergic interneurons in the rat hippocampus, contributing to the coordination of neuronal activity, including the generation of theta oscillations. Much less is known about the synaptic target neurons outside the hippocampus. To reveal the contribution of synaptic circuits involving the medial septum of mice, we have identified postsynaptic cortical neurons in wild-type and parvalbumin-Cre knock-in mice. Anterograde axonal tracing from the septum revealed extensive innervation of the hippocampus as well as the subiculum, presubiculum, parasubiculum, the medial and lateral entorhinal cortices, and the retrosplenial cortex. In all examined cortical regions, many septal GABAergic boutons were in close apposition to somata or dendrites immunopositive for interneuron cell-type molecular markers, such as parvalbumin, calbindin, calretinin, N-terminal EF-hand calcium-binding protein 1, cholecystokinin, reelin, or a combination of these molecules. Electron microscopic observations revealed septal boutons forming axosomatic or axodendritic type II synapses. In the CA1 region of hippocampus, septal GABAergic projections exclusively targeted interneurons. In the retrosplenial cortex, 93% of identified postsynaptic targets belonged to interneurons and the rest to pyramidal cells. These results suggest that the GABAergic innervation from the medial septum and diagonal band complex contributes to temporal coordination of neuronal activity via several types of cortical GABAergic interneurons in both hippocampal and extrahippocampal cortices. Oscillatory septal neuronal firing at delta, theta, and gamma frequencies may phase interneuron activity.
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**Introduction**
Theta frequency oscillations (4–12 Hz) in the rodent hippocampus and related cortical areas are highly correlated with vigilant behavioral states, including navigation and rapid eye movement sleep. Lesion or inactivation of the medial septum (MS) disrupts theta oscillations in the hippocampus and medial entorhinal cortex (mEC; Rawlins et al., 1979; Mizumori et al., 1990; Jeffery et al., 1995; McNaughton et al., 2006), alters the spatial periodicity of mEC grid cells (Brandon et al., 2011; Koenig et al., 2011), and

**Significance Statement**
Diverse types of GABAergic interneurons coordinate the firing of cortical principal cells required for memory processes. During wakefulness and rapid eye movement sleep, the rhythmic firing of cortical GABAergic neurons plays a key role in governing network activity. We investigated subcortical GABAergic projections in the mouse that extend from the medial septum/diagonal band nuclei to GABAergic neurons in the hippocampus and related extrahippocampal cortical areas, including the medial entorhinal cortex. These areas contribute to navigation and show theta rhythmic activity. We found selective GABAergic targeting of different groups of cortical GABAergic neurons, immunoreactive for combinations of cell-type markers. As septal GABAergic neurons also fire rhythmically, their selective innervation of cortical GABAergic neurons suggests an oscillatory synchronization of neuronal activity across functionally related areas.
immunonegative GABAergic subpopulations in the MS and DBC that project to the hippocampus and mEC. To identify the synapti-
tic targets of septal GABAergic neurons, we performed antero-
grade tracing. We have used visualization of pathways by the
Clarity method (Chung et al., 2013) to obtain a large-scale view of
the projection patterns. By combining anterograde tracing with
immunohistochemistry, we tested for the target cell types of in-
dividual cortically projecting septal GABAergic neurons. Then,
using electron microscopy, we revealed synaptic targets in the
CA1 and retrosplenial cortex.

Materials and Methods

All experimental procedures involving animals were performed under
approved project and personal licenses in accordance with the UK Ani-
ma ls (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986. Adult (20–28 g) male C57BL/6J
mice (Charles River) and heterozygous PV-Cre mice (B6;129P2
PvAltm1creAr/J; JAX #008069; Jackson Laboratory) were used for all
experiments. Animals were housed with littermates on a normal light/
dark cycle (lights on at 8:00 A.M; lights off at 8 P.M.) at 19–21°C with
~55% humidity and had ad libitum access to food and water.

All surgical procedures were performed under inhalation anesthesia
using isoflurane. Briefly, we made small subcutaneous injections of bu-
pivacaine (0.125% solution) around the incision sites on the shaved
scalp. Under aseptic conditions, a marker for
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end of their survival times with 0.1 m PB followed by a fixative containing 0.1 m PB, 4% depolymerized paraformaldehyde, and 15% v/v saturated picric acid, pH 7.4. The perfusion solution was supplemented with 0.05% glutaraldehyde for PHAL-injected mice and with 0.3% glutaraldehyde for two AAV-injected PV-Cre mice (animals GU41 and GU42). Following overnight postfixation in a glutaraldehyde-free fixative, brains were washed thoroughly in 0.1 m PB and sectioned coronally or horizontally at 60–80 μm thickness using a Leica VT1000S vibratome (Leica Microsystems). Sections were stored in 0.1 m PB with 0.05% sodium azide at 4°C.

Two AAV-injected mice (animals GU31 and GU32) were processed with the Clarity method (Chung et al., 2013) for uninterrupted observation of trajectories of labeled axons in thick sections using epifluorescence microscopy. The original Clarity protocol was applied with acrylamide-containing hydrogel solution to both brains, which were first processed by electrophoretic tissue clearing (ETC) for 48 h and then cut into 500-μm-thick sections for passive clearing of the lipids. Briefly, mice were perfused transcardially with PBS (20 ml) followed by the hydrogel solution (20 ml). After 2 nights of postfixation in a 50 ml tube filled with 20 ml of the same solution at 4°C, the air in the tube was replaced with nitrogen for polymerization at 37°C (3–6 h). The polymerized hydrogel around the brain was manually removed before washing the tissue with the clearing solution (4% SDS and 200 msx boric acid in distilled H2O, pH 8.5) overnight at room temperature (RT). The brains were washed again with the clearing solution for 48 h at 37°C and transferred to the ETC chamber for active removal of the lipids in the same solution for 48 h (30 × 2 V; 37°C). The brains were then washed with PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBS-Tx) overnight and cut into 500-μm-thick sections, which were then transferred to a 37°C incubator for 7–10 d. Finally, the Clarity-processed thick sections were washed with PBS-Tx overnight and either mounted in 500-μm-thick imaging spacers with FocusClear (CelExplorer Labs) or stored in PBS-Tx at 4°C for subsequent immunohistochemistry.

**Immunohistochemistry**. Tissue penetration of 60–80-μm-thick sections by antibodies was achieved by either using PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 (PBS-Tx) in all base solutions and washes or by treating sections with two rounds of “freeze–thaw” (FT), for which PBS-Tx was replaced with PBS in all subsequent solutions. For FT, sections were cryoprotected in 20% sucrose solution in 0.1 m PB for ≥3 h before rapidly freezing sections over liquid nitrogen then rapidly thawing in buffer. After FT, sections were washed ≥3 times for 10 min in 0.1 m PB. Clarity-processed sections were always incubated in PBS-Tx and did not require tissue permeabilization or blocking. The 60–80-μm-thick sections were first blocked for 1 h at RT in 20% normal horse serum (NHS) or normal goat serum (NGS), depending on the species used to raise the secondary antibody. After blocking, most sections were incubated in primary antibody solution containing 1% NHS/NGS for 2–4 d at 4°C; Clarity-processed antibody incubation sections were washed three times for 10 min and transferred to a secondary antibody solution containing 1% NHS/NGS for 4 h at RT or overnight at 4°C. Antibody fluorophores were chosen to minimize spectral overlap (see below). Clarity-processed sections were washed overnight in PBS-Tx and transferred to the secondary antibody solution for 2 d at RT.

The following secondary antibodies were used: donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 405 (1:250 dilution; catalog #A31556; Invitrogen); donkey anti-mouse, anti-goat, or anti-guinea pig DyLight405 (1:250; 715–475–151, 705–475–147, 706–475–148, respectively; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories); donkey anti-chicken or anti-guinea pig Alexa Fluor 488 (1:1000; 1:400; 703–545–155, 706–485–148, respectively; Jackson ImmunnoResearch Laboratories); donkey anti-rabbit or anti-goat Alexa Fluor 488 (1:400; A21206, A11055, respectively; Invitrogen); donkey anti-rabbit, anti-goat, anti-sheep, anti-mouse, or anti-guinea pig Cy3 (1:400; 711–165–152, 705–165–147, 713–165–147, 715–165–151, 706–165–148, respectively; Jackson ImmunnoResearch Laboratories); donkey anti-rabbit, anti-goat, anti-sheep, anti-mouse, or anti-guinea pig Cy5 (1:250; 711–175–152, 705–175–147, 713–175–147, 715–175–151, 706–175–148, respectively; Jackson ImmunnoResearch Laboratories); donkey anti-rabbit, anti-goat, or anti-mouse DyLight649 (1:250; 711–495–152, 705–495–147, 715–495–151, respectively; Jackson ImmunnoResearch Laboratories). For electron microscopy, we used the following secondary antibodies from Vector Labs: biotinylated donkey anti-goat IgG (1:100 in TBS containing 1% NHS, biotinylated goat anti-chicken IgG (1:100 in TBS containing 1% NGS), and biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:100 in TBS containing 1% NGS).

Following secondary antibody incubation, 60–80-μm-thick immunofluorescence sections were washed three times for 10 min each and mounted on glass slides in methyl salicylate (Retrobead sections only) or VectaShield. Clarity-processed sections were washed for 2 d at RT before mounting.

**Confocal microscopy**. Sections were first observed with a wide-field epifluorescence microscope (Leitz DMRB; Leica Microsystems) equipped with PL Fluor objectives. Multichannel fluorescence images were acquired with ZEN 2008 software v5.0 on a Zeiss LSM 710 laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss Microscopy), equipped with DIC M27 Plan-Apochromat 40×/1.3 numerical aperture, DIC M27 Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.4 numerical aperture, and α Plan-Apochromat 100×/1.46 numerical aperture oil-immersion objectives. The following channel specifications were used (laser/excitation wavelength, beam splitter, emission spectral filter) for detection of Alexa405, Alexa488/ EYPF, Cy3, and Cy5: 405–30 solid-state 405 nm with attenuation filter ND04, MBS–405, 409–499 nm; argon 488 nm, MBS–488, 493–542 nm; HeNe 543 nm, MBS–458/543, 552–639 nm; HeNe 633 nm, MBS–488/ 543/633, 637–757 nm. The pinhole size was set to 1 Airy unit for the shortest wavelength while, to keep all channels at the same optical slice thickness in PB, sections were dehydrated in a graded series of alcohol (70, 90, 95, and 100%) followed by propylene oxide. Uraanyl acetate (1%) was added to the 70% alcohol for 35 min for contrast enhancement. Dehy-
drated sections were embedded in Durcupan resin (Fluka) and polymerized at 60°C for 2 d. Target areas were cut out from the resin-embedded 60–80-μm-thick sections and re-embedded for ultramicrotome sectioning. Serial 60-nm-thick sections were cut and mounted on single-slot, pioloform-coated copper grids. Sections were observed with a Philips CM100 transmission electron microscope and electron micrographs were acquired with a Gatan UltraScan 1000 CCD camera. All PHAL-containing or enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP)-containing boutons cut at the section plane were followed in serial sections to locate synaptic junctions.

We identified putative glutamatergic and GABAergic synaptic junctions respectively as type I (often called asymmetrical) and type II (often called symmetrical) based on their fine structure: type I synapses possess a thick postsynaptic density, whereas type II synapses are characterized by a thin postsynaptic density (Gray, 1959). Electron microscopic identification of dendritic profiles in the hippocampus was made according to established criteria (Gulyás et al., 1999; Megías et al., 2001) by following postsynaptic dendrites in 30 consecutive sections. Briefly, pyramidal cells of the CA1 region have spiny dendrites and receive type I synapses onto their dendritic spines, whereas their dendritic shafts are

Table 1. Primary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Host and antibody</th>
<th>Dilution and procedure</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Specificity Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>Goat, polyclonal</td>
<td>1:1000, IF</td>
<td>Frontier Institute; code: Calbindin-Go-Af104</td>
<td>Recombinant mouse calbindin</td>
<td>Immunoblot detects a single protein band at 28 kDa (manufacturer’s technical information)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>1:1000, IF</td>
<td>Dr. M. Watanabe, Hokkaido University, Japan</td>
<td>Cysteine-tagged CCK-8 (COYMGWMDY) coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>1:500, IF</td>
<td>Dr. M. Watanabe, Hokkaido University, Japan</td>
<td>Cysteine-tagged C-terminal 9 aa of pro-CCX (CSAEDYEYPS) coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChAT</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>1:500, IF; 1:50, CL</td>
<td>Chemicon (Merck Millipore); code: AB144P</td>
<td>Human placental ChAT</td>
<td>Western blot (manufacturer’s technical information)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>1:1000, IF</td>
<td>Swant; code: CG1</td>
<td>Recombinant human calretinin</td>
<td>Antibody does not label the brain of CR knock-out mice (manufacturer’s technical information)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Chicken, polyclonal</td>
<td>1:500, IF; 1:200, CL</td>
<td>Aves Labs; code: GFP-1020</td>
<td>Recombinant GFP</td>
<td>Antibody does not label the brain of wild-type control mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5</td>
<td>Goat, polyclonal</td>
<td>1:100, IF</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems; code: AF578</td>
<td>Recombinant mouse myeloma cell line NSO-derived insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5</td>
<td>Western blot (manufacturer’s technical information)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHAL</td>
<td>Goat, polyclonal</td>
<td>1 μg/ml, IF; EM</td>
<td>Vector: code: AS-2224</td>
<td>Purified lectin</td>
<td>Both react with Phaseolus vulgaris erythroagglutinin and leucoagglutinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rabbit, polyclonal</td>
<td>1 μg/ml, IF; EM</td>
<td>Vector: code: AS-2300</td>
<td>Purified lectin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reelin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1:1000, IF</td>
<td>Chemicon (Merck Millipore); code: MAB5364</td>
<td>Recombinant reelin amino acids 164–496</td>
<td>de Bergeyck et al., 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>1:400, IF</td>
<td>GeneTex; code: GTX71935</td>
<td>Human somatostatin conjugated to protein carrier</td>
<td>Labeling pattern as published with other antibodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vesicular acetylcholine transporter</td>
<td>Goat, polyclonal</td>
<td>1:400, IF</td>
<td>Chemicon (Merck Millipore); code: ABN100</td>
<td>Keyhole limpet hemocyanin-conjugated linear peptide corresponding to the C terminus of rat vesicular acetylcholine transporter</td>
<td>Manufacturer’s technical information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guinea pig, polyclonal</td>
<td>1:250, IF</td>
<td>Synaptic Systems; code: 135404</td>
<td>Recombinant rat vesicular glutamate transporter 2 amino acids 510–582</td>
<td>Western blot (manufacturer’s technical information)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WFS1</td>
<td>Rabbit, polyclonal</td>
<td>1:500, IF</td>
<td>Proteintech; code: 11558-1-AP</td>
<td>Recombinant human WFS1 (wolfmin) amino acids 1–134</td>
<td>Takeda et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IF, Immunofluorescence for triton-treated 60–80-μm-thick sections; EM, electron microscopy (freeze–thaw-treated 60–80-μm-thick sections); CL, Clarity-processed 500-μm-thick sections.

*Information on sources, dilutions (for each procedure used), and specificity of primary antibodies that have not been listed in Viney et al., 2013, their supplementary Table 2, where references are cited.
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Figure 1. Distribution of retrogradely labeled septal and diagonal band neurons projecting to the hippocampus and/or the mEC. A, Green Retrobead injection site (arrow) in the dorsal CA1 region (mouse GU06, coronal section). B, Red Retrobead injection site (arrow) in the dorsocaudal mEC (mouse GU07, coronal section). C–E, Confocal microscopic images of retrogradely transported green (C) or red (D) Retrobeads in somata and their colocalization in an hDB neuron (E, arrow). F, A single confocal microscopic optical section of CA1-projecting green Retrobead-labeled neurons (arrows) in the medial septum with immunohistochemistry for PV (magenta). A PV-immunopositive retrogradely labeled neuron (framed area) is shown in the inset (scale bar, 10 μm). G, H, Single confocal microscopic images depicting colocalization of CB (cyan) and ChAT (orange) in some (yellow, arrows) but not all neurons in the posterior MS–vDB border. I–M, Two retrogradely labeled mEC-projecting MS/vDB neurons (arrows) are both immunoreactive for ChAT (K) but only one of them is immunoreactive for CB (L). N–P, Neurorucida drawings of the septal and diagonal band area at three rostrocaudal levels marked with positions of retrogradely labeled CA1-projecting (green) and mEC-projecting (red) neurons. Arrowhead in Q points to a (Figure legend continues.)
innervated almost exclusively by type II synapses. In contrast, the overall
majority of hippocampal interneurons have aspiny or sparsely
spiny dendrites, which receive both type I and type II synapses (Takacs et al.,
2012). Freund and Gulyás (1991) reported that these differences in
the dendritic synaptic inputs are preserved in the retrosplenial cortex of
the rat, where pyramidal cells have spiny dendrites and receive the bulk
of their excitatory input through axospinous synapses, whereas the ma-
jority of interneurons have varicose and aspiny dendrites. Furthermore,
pyramidal cell dendrites in the rat retrosplenial cortex are characterized
by many parallel and evenly distributed microtubules, relatively small
mitochondria, and cytosolic protein aggregates. In contrast, interneuron
dendrites often contain more and larger mitochondria, and fine ribo-
somes (Freund and Gulyás, 1991).
Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Adobe Systems) was used to crop the original
images and to apply brightness and contrast adjustments to the whole
frame. Adobe Illustrator CS3 was used to add labels and scale bars. Sta-
tistical analyses were performed in Matlab (MathWorks). Mean values
are reported as mean ± SD. Median values are reported with interquar-
tile range (IQR).

Results

Immunohistochemical identification of septal and diagonal band
bDoctrine in the dorsal CA1 and/or mEC
All Retrobead injections resulted in restricted labeling in the target
regions, the CA1 (Fig. 1A) and the mEC (Fig. 1B), with min-
imal diffusion to neighboring areas. Both the dorsal CA1 and
dorsocaudal mEC injections revealed retrogradely labeled cells in the
MS, and the vertical and horizontal diagonal band (vDB, hDB) nuclei (n = 863 cells from 8 mice). Injections into the
dorsal CA1 labeled 330 neurons (n = 7 mice) in the MS and vDB,
and 273 neurons (n = 5 mice) in the hDB nuclei of both hemi-
spheres. Four mice received injections of differently colored Ret-
robeads in the two areas. The distribution of labeled cells shows that the hippocampal injection sites received a substantial, but
significantly smaller (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p < 0.05) propor-
tion of their hDB input from the contralateral side (median =
7 cells, IQR = 16) compared to the ipsilateral side (median =
21 cells, IQR = 28; n = 273 cells from 7 mice). Injections of Ret-
robeads into the mEC resulted in fewer labeled neurons than those
found to project to CA1 (160 cells in the MS and vDB, and
109 cells in the hDB, n = 5 animals). Similar to the CA1, unilat-
eral injections into the mEC resulted in bilateral retrograde labeling
in hDB nuclei (Alonso and Köhler, 1984).
The majority of the retrogradely labeled septal cells were me-
dially located in or around the PV-dense region of the MS (Fig.
1N–P). Negligible numbers of retrogradely labeled cells were ob-
served in or beyond the CR-rich layer of the lateral septum (Wei et al.,
2012) and all of them were ChAT and PV immunonegative (Fig.
1O). Only 9 of 453 cells projected to both the CA1 and the
mEC as revealed when green and red Retrobeads were colocalized in
the same somata (Fig. 1C–E; n = 4 mice). All of these double-
labeled neurons were located in the diagonal band nuclei and were
immunopositive for ChAT. This does not rule out the exis-
tence of multiple area-targeting noncholinergic septal neurons as
the two injection sites may not have been at topographically
related positions.

Table 2. Number and proportion of CA1-projecting or mEC-projecting MS/vDB and hDB neurons tested for ChAT and PV immunoreactivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurons</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>All cells tested for ChAT</th>
<th>ChAT-negative cells tested for PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA1-projecting</td>
<td>MS/vDB</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>57 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hDB</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>97 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>154 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mEC-projecting</td>
<td>MS/vDB</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>46 (59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hDB</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>59 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>105 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>463</td>
<td>259 (56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number and proportion of all retrogradely labeled CA1-projecting or mEC-projecting neurons in MS/vDB and hDB that were tested (N) for ChAT immunoreactivity; the ChAT-immunonegative cells were tested for PV.

*Note that only 83 of 104 ChAT-immunonegative cells were tested for PV.

We tested 463 retrogradely labeled somata for ChAT immunoreactivity (Fig. 1) in the MS and diagonal band nuclei (Table 2). The ChAT-negative, putative GABAergic, and glutama-
netic projection cells (n = 183) were tested for the calcium-
binding protein PV immunoreactivity (Table 2; Fig. 1F). Overall, 8% of the ChAT-immunonegative projection neurons showed PV immunoreactivity.

We have also tested 248 ChAT-immunopositive cells for CB
immunoreactivity in the MS, vDB, and hDB of three mice, and
found that 15 ± 6% of ChAT-immunopositive cells express de-
tectable, but often low levels of CB (Fig. 1G,H). We then tested
immunoreactivity for CB in the retrogradely labeled ChAT-
immunonegative neurons and found that 9 of 138 (7%) of the
CA1-projecting neurons and 3 of 66 (5%) of the mEC-projec-
ting neurons in the DBC were also immunopositive for CB (Fig.
1I–M). We did not observe any retrogradely labeled CB immu-
nopositive cells that were immunonegative for ChAT. Finally, no retrogradely labeled cell (n = 58 tested cells) was immunopositive
for CR in any septal or DBC region (for the rat basal fore-
brain, see Zaborszky et al., 1999). Many of the CR-positive
GABAergic neurons project to the supramammillary nucleus in
the rat (Le Ran et al., 1999).

Septal GABAergic innervation of extrahippocampal
cortical areas

Iontophoretic injections of PHAL into the MS of C57BL6j
mice resulted in neuronal labeling along the rostrocaudal sep-
tal axis. The ML and DV diffusion of the tracer was minimal;
labeling was mostly restricted to the MS and dorsal-most part
of the vDB with few labeled neurons observed in the CR-rich
layer around the MS. The densest somatic labeling was ob-
served at the center of the injection site, corresponding to the
middle of the rostrocaudal extent of the MS in each animal
(Fig. 2A).

To trace the axons of the subpopulation of GABAergic sep-
tal neurons that express PV, we injected pAAV2-EF1a-DIO-
EYFP virus into the septum of B6;129P2-Pvalbim1(cre)Arbr/j
mice, resulting in Cre-dependant expression of EYFP. These
injections produced restricted somatic labeling at the delivery
sites with numerous EYFP-labeled axons projecting dorsally
toward the fornix and fimbria (Fig. 2B). They produced few
labeled neurons outside the area rich in PV-positive cells of the
MS (Fig. 2C). The expression of EYFP was restricted to PV-
positive cells, as confirmed by immunohistochemistry for PV
(Fig. 2D–F). Accordingly, all the EYFP-immunolabeled soma-

ta (Fig. 2D, arrows) were immunoreactive for PV (Fig.

(Figure legend continued.) retrogradely labeled cell in the lateral septum. The central out-
lined region indicates the area containing all the PV-immunoreactive somata and labeled pro-
cesses. Q–S. Proportions and numbers of retrogradely labeled neurons counted in MS/vDB or
hDB for all CA1 injections (Q, green) and mEC injections (R, red), which include the double CA1
and mEC injections (S). DG, Dentate gyrus; V1, primary visual cortex; ac, anterior commissure; cc,
corpus callosum; v, lateral ventricle; B, bregma.
but not all PV-immunopositive neurons expressed EYFP (Fig. 2).

Cortical target regions were delineated by immunohistochemistry for molecular markers. The entorhinal cortex and parasubiculum were marked respectively by strong and weak immunoreactivity for NECAB1. This marker was used to visualize the borders of the entorhinal cortex as well as the border between the presubiculum and parasubiculum (Fig. 3A). Reelin immunolabeling clearly differentiated the entorhinal cortex by dense labeling of stellate cells and interneurons in LII (Fig. 3B). Immunohistochemistry for the insulin-like growth factor binding protein 5 delineated the presubiculum by labeling thalamic axons (Stenvers et al., 1994). Confirming earlier observations of in situ hybridization for Wolfram syndrome 1 homolog (WFS1; Ding, 2013), immunohistochemistry for the protein WFS1 ( wolframin) revealed the parasubiculum and LII of the mEC; in both areas it was found in LII pyramidal cells (Takeda et al., 2001).

The hippocampus received much denser medial septal input compared with other cortical areas as observed by both PHAL-labeled and EYFP-labeled axons. Strata radiatum, pyramidale, and oriens were heavily innervated. Few labeled septal axons were observed in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare. Similarly, the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus was innervated less than the granule cell and the polymorphic layers (Fig. 3C). Consistent anterograde axonal labeling was obtained in the cortical areas described below by both PHAL-labeled projections, presumably including the cholinergic, GABAergic, and glutamatergic axons, and the EYFP-labeled axons from PV-immunopositive GABAergic cells. As discussed below, the major difference between the two methods concerned the types of septal axons labeled.

The main axons of the PHAL-labeled neurons showed more variability in appearance than those originating only from PV-expressing neurons. They could be divided into two groups: relatively thick and smooth main axons, and substantially thinner main axons with smaller boutons. We sampled 30 thick/smooth axons branching and forming a total of 128 boutons and all of these boutons tested by confocal microscopy were immunopositive for VGAT (n = 3 animals; Fig. 4A, B). Thus, the...
relatively thick and smooth type of main axons originated from GABAergic septal neurons. In contrast, the PHAL-labeled main axons with smaller diameter and boutons were VGAT immunonegative. We have restricted our analysis in the PHAL-labeled material to the axons possessing VGAT-immunoreactive terminals and those that displayed similar boutons. These axons contributed both en passant (Fig. 4B) and terminal varicosities in all the target regions examined. Only a minority of boutons was in apposition to neuronal somata; the rest presumably innervated dendrites. Target somata were often encapsulated by numerous boutons of the same PHAL-labeled axon (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996), forming a basket-like mesh (Fig. 4C). The same manner of innervation was observed also for the EYFP-immunolabeled axons (Fig. 5A).

The relative density of PHAL-immunolabeled or EYFP-immunolabeled axons with VGAT-immunopositive terminal boutons in the hippocampus as well as in the extrahippocampal cortical areas was similar in the two mouse lines showing similar labeling efficacy. Accordingly, the following conclusions are based on observations of the pooled data. The high density of innervation of the hippocampus proper by GABAergic axons was also present in the subiculum at all levels along the septotemporal axis. The parasubiculum received the densest septal GABAergic projection among other extrahippocampal structures (Fig. 3A), similar to the dense projections to mEC LII and layer IV in the rat (Alonso and Köhler, 1984). A lower density of PHAL-immunolabeled and EYFP-immunolabeled axons was observed in the presubiculum, mEC, and granular retrosplenial cortex. Together with the hippocampus and parasubiculum, these cortical regions received the majority of septocortical GABAergic input (Fig. 3A, B).

**Figure 3.** Identification of the target regions of EYFP-labeled septal axons by immunohistochemistry in the hippocampus and extrahippocampal areas. A, B, Epifluorescent images showing demarcation (dashed lines) of the parasubiculum (PaS) and entorhinal cortex (EC) by immunohistochemistry for NECAB1 (blue), and the EC by reelin (red) in horizontal brain sections of a PV-Cre mouse superimposed with EYFP-immunofluorescent septal axons (green). The EC and PaS are respectively differentiated by dense and lighter NECAB1 immunoreactivity (A; case GU41s47). Immunolabeling for reelin visualizes mEC LII (large dashed lines) by labeling the stellate cells and interneurons (B; case GU41s20). The white matter (wm) is labeled nonspecifically. B shows, in a confocal microscopic image (maximum intensity projection, 5.14 μm z-stack), anterogradely labeled septal axons (green) near reelin-positive interneurons (red, from the framed area in B). C, Differential innervation of layers of the hippocampus by EYFP-labeled medial septal axons; maximum intensity confocal microscopic projection of a 350-μm-thick z-stack of a Clarity-processed thick section. Solid line marks the hippocampal fissure between the CA1 region and dentate gyrus (case GU31hpc). D, Anterogradely labeled septal PV-expressing GABAergic axons at three rostrocaudal levels in the retrosplenial cortex (animal GU42). Dashed lines indicate estimated borders between the agranular (RSA) and granular (RSG) retrosplenial cortices. Scale bars, 200 μm. Median filter was applied (x, y, radius, 1 pixel) in C. DG, dentate gyrus; PrS, presubiculum; Sub, subiculum; L I, layer I; so, stratum oriens; sp, stratum pyramidale; sr, stratum radiatum; slm, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; ml, molecular layer of the dentate gyrus; g, granule cell layer; pl, polymorphic layer of the dentate gyrus; cc, corpus callosum; R, rostral; C, caudal; M, medial; L, lateral; B, bregma.
An uneven distribution of anterogradely labeled medial septal GABAergic axons in the entorhinal cortex and retrosplenial cortex probably was due to a topographical organization. Originating from our injection sites, more septal axons were found in the medial and most caudal portions of the entorhinal cortex, with the dorsocaudal mEC in each animal receiving the most labeled axons and boutons. We have observed relatively few labeled axons in the lateral entorhinal cortex and even fewer in the perirhinal cortex. In the retrosplenial cortex, the densest labeling was found in the caudal and most-medial portions, corresponding to the granular region. The more lateral and dorsal part of the cortex, known as the five-layered, agranular region, received substantially less septal GABAergic input. The density of labeling also decreased in more anterior levels where the retrosplenial cortex fuses with the cingulate cortex.

**Diverse types of cortical interneuron innervated by septal GABAergic projections**

We used immunohistochemistry to identify somata and dendrites of the interneurons targeted by anterogradely labeled septal axons. Immunohistochemistry for PV, CB, CR, NECAB1, CCK, SATB1, nNOS, or reelin revealed numerous immunopositive target profiles in clear apposition to the boutons immunolabeled for PHAL (Fig. 4) or EYFP (Fig. 5). We have found no differences in the targets of the GABAergic septal axons visualized by immunolabeling for PHAL or EYFP in the entorhinal cortex, compared with the above somatodendritic interneuron markers or marker combinations in >3 animals each. Therefore, in other extrahippocampal cortices, we have analyzed only the targets of the EYFP-immunolabeled septal GABAergic axons.

Immunohistochemistry for the aforementioned molecular markers revealed that the diversity of the interneuron targets of EYFP-immunolabeled septal axons observed in the entorhinal cortex (Figs. 4, 5B,F) applied to the subiculum, presubiculum, parasubiculum, and retrosplenial cortex (Table 3; n = 7 animals). In each of these extrahippocampal areas, excluding the retrosplenial cortex, interneurons immunopositive for PV, CB, CR, NECAB1, CCK, or reelin were found in clear apposition to septal GABAergic boutons forming putative axosomatic (Fig. 5A,B) or axodendritic (Fig. 5C–F) synapses. In the retrosplenial cortex, only PV, CB, CR, and reelin were found in putative interneuron target somata. Combinations of molecular markers were observed in 28 target neurons. For instance, 47% of the PV-immunopositive neurons (Fig. 5D) were also immunoreactive for CB (Fig. 5E; n = 99) in the depicted area of parasubiculum (Fig. 5D,E).

The possibility that septal GABAergic axons selectively target certain interneuron types was assessed by following single labeled axons (n = 16) in consecutive sections or through 500-μm-thick Clarity-processed tissue immunoreacted for interneuron molecular markers. The limited number of antibodies and fluorophores that could be used in the same section allowed the visualization of only few of the targets of each single axon. Nevertheless, we often observed a single labeled axon innervating target cells labeled for the same molecule, such as PV (n = 4 axons, 2–3 cells each; Fig. 5A), or NECAB1 and CCK (n = 2 axons, 2 and 4 cells). These septal axons with an apparent target selectivity also formed boutons around cellular profiles that were not labeled by any of the tested markers, including the molecule that was found in the nearby targeted cells (Fig. 5A,B). Thus, we have not observed strict target cell-type selectivity by labeled septal axons. On the contrary, nine single septal axons were in apposition to profiles that were immunopositive for different set of molecular markers. For example, boutons of a single septal axon in the subiculum were in apposition to an interneuron immunopositive for PV and SATB1, as well as to a nearby NECAB1-immunopositive, but PV-immunonegative, neuron that was also immunoreactive for SATB1. In another case, a single EYFP-immunolabeled axon in subiculum innervated a soma immunoreactive for CCK and NECAB1 as well as another NECAB1-immunopositive but CCK-immunonegative neuron (Fig. 5G).
Septal GABAergic projections in the mEC, retrosplenial cortex, and the CA1 innervate interneurons

We have tested the postsynaptic targets of septal axons in the cerebral cortex by two methods. First, we immunolabeled pyramidal cells in LII of the mEC by immunohistochemistry for WFS1 and CB, and stellate cells for reelin. At different rostrocaudal levels in LII of the entorhinal cortex, we followed single labeled GABAergic axons of PV-expressing septal neurons immunolabeled for EYFP and searched for putative target profiles immunopositive for WFS1, CB, or reelin (n = 4 animals). No labeled septal boutons were found in clear apposition to WFS1/CB-immunopositive somata or proximal dendrites or reelin-positive stellate cell somata (Fig. 6A, asterisks). Some other smaller reelin-positive somata were found in apposition to the EYFP-labeled axonal varicosities in all layers of the mEC (Fig. 6), which are likely to be interneurons (Chin et al., 2007).

Second, to investigate the range of synaptic targets, we analyzed with an electron microscope PHAL-labeled (Fig. 7A, C–E) or EYFP-expressing (Fig. 7B) axonal boutons. They formed type II synapses and targeted mostly dendritic shafts in the CA1 region (Fig. 7A, B) and retrosplenial cortex (Fig. 7C–E). We identified a total of 33 synaptic junctions formed by PHAL-immunolabeled boutons in the strata radiatum, pyramidale, lacunosum-molecu- lare, and oriens of CA1 (n = 2 animals). One-third of the synapses were axosomatic and the remaining were axodendritic (Fig. 7A). All the postsynaptic profiles were identified as interneuronal, as they received type I synapses from unlabeled boutons and no spines were observed originating from the dendritic shafts. We also examined the synaptic targets of PV-expressing septal GABAergic neurons in the stratum pyramidale of CA1. Of the 15 axodendritic and 5 axosomatic (Fig. 7B) type II synapses identified, all belonged to interneurons (n = 2 animals). Hence, in these samples, no labeled boutons that formed type II junctions and originated from identified or presumed GABAergic medial septal axons made synapses with pyramidal cells in the CA1 region. Finally, we analyzed the superficial and deep layers of the granular retrosplenial cortex and recorded in serial sections 47 type II synaptic junctions formed by PHAL-immunolabeled boutons (n = 2 animals). We identified 18 postsynaptic dendritic

---

**Table 3. Molecular cell markers and their combinations found in septal target neurons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subiculum</th>
<th>Presubiculum</th>
<th>Parasubiculum</th>
<th>Retrosplenial cortex</th>
<th>Lateral entorhinal cortex</th>
<th>mEC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NECAB1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reelin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNOS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV + CB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV + SATB1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB + reelin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB + nNOS</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NECAB1 + CCK</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NECAB1 + SATB1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV + SATB1 + SATB1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.t.</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
<td>n.o.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nNOS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Immunohistochemical molecular markers or marker combinations found in interneurons in clear apposition to the EYFP-immunolabeled septal GABAergic boutons in each extrahippocampal cortical area. +, Observed; n.o., not observed when searched in >4 sections; n.t., not tested.

---

**Figure 5.** Innervation of interneurons by EYP-labeled septal axons (green) in extrahippocampal cortical areas of PV-Cre mice. A, B, Inervation of two PV-immunopositive (magenta) somata by the same axon in presubiculum (A; case AJ14ab), and another neuron in deep layers of the lateral entorhinal cortex (B; case AJ13a). C, Juxtaposition of septal boutons with a CB-immunopositive (blue) dendritic profile in presubiculum; single optical slices (thickness: 1 μm) are enlarged (C’) and overlaid with the labeled axon (C”) showing putative axodendritic contacts (arrows; case AJ14j). D, E, Two separate labeled axons in parasubiculum innervate two target cells immunopositive for PV (D, magenta). The upper neuron is also immunoreactive for CB (E, blue). A single optical slice (thickness: 0.36 μm) of the proximal dendrite of this cell (framed) is shown in E’ and overlaid with the septal axons (E”), demonstrating putative axo- dendritic contacts (arrows, case GU41j). F, A CR-immunopositive (white) and a CB-immunopositive (blue) soma are innervated by separate labeled axons in the lateral entorhinal cortex. Arrows point to boutons overlapping with the dendrite of the CB-immunopositive neuron (case AJ12eeff). G, Four NECAB1-immunopositive (blue) neurons are targeted by septal axons in the dorsal subiculum (case AJ13s14). Two targets strongly immunopositive for NECAB1 are also positive for CCK (pink), whereas weakly NECAB1-immunoreactive somata are CCK immunonegative (asterisks). One labeled septal axon (left) innervates both kinds of cells. Median filter was applied (x, y; radius, 1 pixel) in A and B. Maximum intensity projections of confocal image stacks: A, 21.58 μm; B, 12.07 μm; C, 22 μm; D and E, 2.49 μm; F, 10.38 μm; G, 8.19 μm.
shafts (Fig. 7C) and 8 somata (Fig. 7D) as interneurons (93% of identified targets) based on the criteria applied to the hippocampus above and as described previously (Freund and Gulyás, 1991). Two postsynaptic dendrites (7% of identified targets) probably belonged to pyramidal cells. One small dendrite was an oblique side branch of a thick, radially oriented dendrite that had the characteristics of a pyramidal cell apical dendrite receiving only type II synapses ($n = 3$). An additional small dendritic shaft was also identified as originating from a pyramidal cell. The dendrite from this cell, similar to the first dendrite, had small electron-dense bodies associated with the endoplasmic reticulum, which are characteristic of pyramidal cells in the hippocampus. The remaining 19 synaptic junctions (40% of all targets) were established with small dendritic profiles, which did not receive other synaptic input within the section series we studied. Only one of them emitted a spine (Fig. 7E). The origin of these dendritic targets could not be identified; they may belong to interneurons or pyramidal cells. Based on the identified postsynaptic elements, we conclude that the majority of septal GABAAergic boutons target interneurons.

The anterograde labeling of axons using PHAL is not selective for any transmitter phenotype. We have therefore tested the area of the retrosplenial cortex in two animals from which the sample for electron microscopy was taken for the presence of vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGluT2) and vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACht). We sampled 14 PHAL-labeled axonal branches in the granular retrosplenial area from both animals and tested their boutons in a neighboring section, which was analyzed by both light and electron microscopy. None of the 14 axonal branches was immunopositive for VGluT2 or VACht, indicating that the boutons sampled for electron microscopy did not originate from glutamatergic or cholinergic medial septal neurons. Four of the axons were traced back to the aforementioned relatively thick and smooth type of main axons that often give rise to VGAT-immunonegative boutons. The remaining axons could not be traced back to a main axon.

Discussion

We have revealed septal and DBC GABAAergic innervation of hippocampal and extrahippocampal cortices in the mouse, and have identified diverse types of GABAAergic interneuronal targets. Individual septal axons showed no strict selectivity for any cell type with the molecular markers studied here. In the mouse, unlike in the rat (Celio and Norman, 1985; Chang and Kuo, 1991; Smith et al., 1994; Kiss et al., 1997), the parallel cholinergic innervation of the hippocampus and mEC originates from both calbindin-positive and calbindin-negative neurons. Septal inactivation, affecting ascending projections to the cortex and descending projections to subcortical structures, abolishes spatial periodicity of grid cell activity fields, but spares hippocampal place cell activity fields and other spatially modulated patterns (Brandon et al., 2011, 2014; Koenig et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015). Accordingly, the septal GABAAergic innervation may contribute to spatial coding of grid cells by coordinating theta-rhythmic firing of local GABAAergic interneurons.

Target selectivity of septal GABAAergic projections

Electron microscopy of septal GABAAergic terminals in CA1 of the mouse showed that, as in the rat (Freund and Antal, 1988), interneurons are the exclusive synaptic targets. In contrast to a recent retrograde trans-synaptic tracing study based on CaMKII expression in mouse (Sun et al., 2014), we did not observe pyramidal cell targets of septal GABAAergic neurons, which may be due to our anterograde tracers not labeling potential pyramidal cell-targeting axons. Alternatively, the suggested GABAAergic neurons presynaptic to pyramidal cells may not be GABAAergic (Sun et al., 2014). Some PV-immunopositive cortical neurons express
The overall projection patterns of septal axons in the mouse were determined (Freund and Gulyás, 1991; McDonald et al., 2011), electrical properties (Klink and Lingenhöhl and Finch, 1991; Alonso and Klink, 1993), and projections (Varga et al., 2010; Kitamura et al., 2014). Grid cells may include one or both groups (Hafting et al., 2005; Pastoll et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2014). Although we have not observed septal GABAergic boutons apposed to principal cells, we cannot rule out innervation of their distal dendrites. However, this is unlikely, as selective activation of the septal GABAergic input in a slice preparation leads to monosynaptic GABAergic IPSPs in only 1.5% of nonstellate principal neurons and never in stellate cells, compared with >60% of interneurons (Gonzalez-Sulser et al., 2014). Accordingly, almost all septal GABAergic input in the mEC is received by different types of GABAergic interneurons. These may include the CCK-immunoreactive interneurons shown here, which correspond to the CB1R-expressing basket cells innervating entorhinal principal cells that do not form part of the perforant path (Varga et al., 2010). In contrast, it is likely that PV-immunopositive basket cells and other interneurons contribute to the disynaptic inhibition between LII stellate cells (Couey et al., 2013). Based on the diversity of target GABAergic interneuron types in all the observed regions, similar septal connections may exist in the presubiculum and parasubiculum, which also contain grid cells along with head-direction cells (Dumont and Taube, 2015). The GABAergic septal DBC neurons, shown here innervating all extrahippocampal areas in the mouse, may coordinate interareal activity between functionally related areas. It remains to be determined CaMKII (Watakabe et al., 2015), and PV-positive neurons are GABAergic in the hippocampus. In the retrosplenial cortex, most synaptic targets were also interneurons, although the cellular origin of many small dendritic postsynaptic targets could not be determined (Freund and Gulyás, 1991). The two synaptic junctions on retrosplenic pyramidal cell dendrites suggest that, in the mouse, GABAergic medial septal neurons provide a limited innervation of pyramidal cells, as seen in the basolateral amygdala (McDonald et al., 2011).

The overall projection patterns of septal axons in the mouse were similar to earlier observations in rats (Nyakas et al., 1987; Freund and Gulyás, 1991). Target interneuron somata and dendrites were immunopositive for a wide range of molecular interneuron cell-type markers. Unlike in the CA1 (Somogyi, 2010), the relationships between molecular markers and interneuron types in extrahippocampal areas remain mostly undefined, awaiting visualization of their axons and their in vivo firing patterns. Based on neurochemical characterization of single neocortical interneurons with visualized axons, some septally innervated interneurons may prove to be putative PV-immunoreactive or CCK-immunoreactive basket cells, PV-immunoreactive and/or CB-immunoreactive axo-axonic cells, and somatostatin-immunopositive dendrite-innervating interneurons (Cauli et al., 1997; Markram et al., 2004; Xue et al., 2014). In the subiculum, most, but not all, PV-immunoreactive target somata expressed SATB1. Although the distribution of SATB1 is different in the subiculum and CA1, distinct combinations of PV, SATB1, and somatostatin may eventually define different types of subicular PV interneurons (Viney et al., 2013).

Two major populations of mEC principal cells in LII, pyramidal cells, and stellate cells differ in their dendritic arborization (Gerroth et al., 1989; Lingenhöhl and Finch, 1991; Alonso and Klink, 1993), and projections (Varga et al., 2010; Kitamura et al., 2014). Grid cells may include one or both groups (Hafting et al., 2005; Pastoll et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2014). Although we have not observed septal GABAergic boutons apposed to principal cells, we cannot rule out innervation of their distal dendrites. However, this is unlikely, as selective activation of the septal GABAergic input in a slice preparation leads to monosynaptic GABAergic IPSPs in only 1.5% of nonstellate principal neurons and never in stellate cells, compared with >60% of interneurons (Gonzalez-Sulser et al., 2014). Accordingly, almost all septal GABAergic input in the mECs is received by different types of GABAergic interneurons. These may include the CCK-immunoreactive interneurons shown here, which correspond to the CB1R-expressing basket cells innervating entorhinal principal cells that do not form part of the perforant path (Varga et al., 2010). In contrast, it is likely that PV-immunopositive basket cells and other interneurons contribute to the disynaptic inhibition between LII stellate cells (Couey et al., 2013). Based on the diversity of target GABAergic interneuron types in all the observed regions, similar septal connections may exist in the presubiculum and parasubiculum, which also contain grid cells along with head-direction cells (Dumont and Taube, 2015). The GABAergic septal DBC neurons, shown here innervating all extrahippocampal areas in the mouse, may coordinate interareal activity between functionally related areas. It remains to be determined.
whether single septal neurons innervate one or many functionally related target areas (see below). Defining the target cell selectivity of individual septal neurons would also require a full labeling of their axons and the identification of their target interneurons, which is difficult to achieve. Our observation that individual septal GABAergic axons innervate cortical interneurons expressing different combinations of molecules predicts that septal neurons act through a range of interneuron types. But we also observed single septal axons, for example, contacting only NECAB1/CCk target interneurons within a limited area. This indicates that neither strict interneuron type specificity nor randomness applies to target cell selectivity of GABAergic septal projections.

Roles of septal GABAergic neurons in network activity and theta oscillations

The firing of theta-rhythmic septal GABAergic neurons was proposed to lead theta oscillations in the hippocampus (Hangya et al., 2009), suggesting rhythmic inhibition of hippocampal interneurons, resulting in disinhibition of principal cells in phase with the septal neuron firing (Freund and Antal, 1988; Tóth et al., 1997; Vertes and Kocsis, 1997). However, the preferential firing of theta-coupled neurons in both the MS and hippocampus occurs at virtually all theta phases (Petsche et al., 1962; Vinogradova, 1995; Dragoi et al., 1999; Borhegyi et al., 2004). During 100–150 ms theta cycles, maximal cofiring of GABAergic neurons and their synaptic targets may occur in phase, while during simultaneous faster 10–20 ms gamma cycles they may fire out of phase (Belluscio et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015). The preferred firing phases of single septal GABAergic neurons and their target interneurons are unknown. In the hippocampus, the maximal firing probability of pyramidal cells during the theta cycle (Mizuseki et al., 2009) is in phase with the maximal firing of presynaptic GABAergic PV-expressing bistratified and oriens-lacunosum moleculare cells (Katona et al., 2014). Moreover, bistratified and basket interneurons also discharge strongly during ~100-ms-duration sharp-wave-associated ripple oscillations (Lapray et al., 2012; Varga et al., 2012; Katona et al., 2014), the highest firing probability of their target pyramidal cell population. Some rhythmic septohippocampal neurons also fire during sharp-wave-associated ripples (Vinye et al., 2013), while many MS neurons are silent (Dragoi et al., 1999), but the identity of the synaptic target cells of both populations is unknown. Accordingly, until the link between the firing of single septal GABAergic neurons and their target cells is discovered, both in and out of phase firing remain possible on a theta cycle time scale, and the gamma frequency discharge of single septal GABAergic neurons and their target cells may contribute to cortical gamma oscillations (Duque et al., 2000; Hassani et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2015). Furthermore, septal GABAergic inputs may synchronize neuronal populations not only within but also between cortical and subcortical areas (Vertes and Kocsis, 1997; Jones and Wilson, 2005). If single septal GABAergic neurons innervate >1 cortical area, they would act through their target interneurons to synchronize their activity. The dynamic theta phase coupling between cortical areas is of fundamental behavioral importance in mammals, including humans (Linkenkaer-Hansen et al., 2005; Guitart-Masip et al., 2013). If each cortical area receives septal GABAergic input from distinct sets of neurons, then these are probably synchronized by their brainstem and hypothalamic inputs, or by local synaptic networks within the septum (Borhegyi et al., 2004; Leão et al., 2015).

The firing of hippocampal interneurons contains spatial information, as firing rate indicates the position of the rat (Hangya et al., 2010), or theta phase precession during the progression through the place field (Maurer et al., 2006). As interneuron firing fields may be inversely related to those of pyramidal cells (Ego-Stengel and Wilson, 2007; Hangya et al., 2010), the septal GABAergic innervation may contribute to the modulation of interneuron firing fields and consequently those of pyramidal cells. Similarly, the septal coordination of the theta rhythm in the mEC could contribute to firing fields of local interneurons complementing those of grid cells.

The extensive septal and DBC GABAergic innervation of cortical interneurons shows a similar organization throughout the temporal cortex. Retrograde axonal labeling revealing septal projection neurons showed a low proportion of PV-immunopositive cells. In addition to this rhythmic firing and hippocampal theta-coupled subpopulation, there are other theta-rhythmic GABAergic neurons in the basal forebrain of rats (Simon et al., 2006). Diverse types of septal GABAergic cells and their innervated interneurons may act with different temporal dynamics (Borhegyi et al., 2004). Selective septal GABAergic input may modulate the theta phase preference of target interneuron types, contributing to theta-related firing dynamics as occur locally in the hippocampus (Somogyi et al., 2014).
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